

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,740	12/05/2001	Jacqueline Mary Walling	018781-006920US	2641
20350	7590 07/15/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSENI	O AND TOWNSEND AN	FETTEROLF, BRANDON J		
TWO EMBAI	RCADERO CENTER			
EIGHTH FLC	OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			1642	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/010,74	10	WALLING ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
			Fetterolf, PhD	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evinication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .					
·	• • •						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a)  accepted or b) ion to the drawing(s) t he correction is requir	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  te of References Cited (PTO-892)  te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fer No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Walling et al.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-2, as specifically drawn to a composition for the treatment of proliferative disorders, comprising lometrexol, an antiproliferative agent and folic acid, classified in class 544, subclass 245.
- II. Claims 1-5, as specifically drawn to a composition for the treatment of proliferative disorders, comprising lometrexol, and an antiproliferative agent, classified in class 544, subclass 245; class 536, subclass 4.1.
- III. Claims 6-10 and 18-29, as specifically drawn to a method for the treatment of cancer, comprising administering to a subject in need an effective amount of a composition comprised of lometrexol, antiproliferative agent and folic acid, classified in class 514, subclass 258.1.
- IV. Claims 6-7, 11, 18-26, and 30, as specifically drawn to a method for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, and benign prostatic hyperplasia, comprising administering to a subject in need an effective amount of a composition comprised of lometrexol, antiproliferative agent and folic acid, classified in class 514, subclass 258.1.
- V. Claims 6, 8-10, 12-14, 18, 20-29, 31-33, as specifically drawn to a method for the treatment of cancer, comprising administering to a subject in need an effective amount of a composition comprised of lometrexol and an antiproliferative agent, classified in class 514, subclass 25, 258.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,740

Art Unit: 1642

VI. Claims 6, 11, 15-17, 18, 20-26, and 34-36, as specifically drawn to a method for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, and benign prostatic hyperplasia, comprising administering to a subject in need an effective amount of a composition comprised of lometrexol and an antiproliferative agent, classified in class 514, subclass 25, 258.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I-II represent separate and distinct products which are made by materially different methods, and are used in materially different methods which have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. For example, Group I is drawn to a composition comprised of lometrexol, an antiproliferative agent and folic acid, whereas Groups II is drawn to a composition comprised of lometrexol and an antiproliferative agent.

The invention of Groups III-V are materially distinct methods of which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosage and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success. For example, Group IV is drawn specifically to a method of treating a rheumatoid arthritis with a composition comprised of lometrexol, an antiproliferative agent and folic acid, whereas Group V is drawn to a method of treating a cancer by administering a composition comprised of lometrexol and an antiproliferative agent.

The inventions of Groups I-II and the method of III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process of treating cancer can be practiced with or without the folic acid.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

Art Unit: 1642

examination purposes as indicated is proper. Furthermore, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for another group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

# Species Election

Groups II, V, and VI (Claims 3, 12, 15, 31, and 34) are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising antiproliferative agents such as alkylating agents, antimetabolites, microtubule inhibitors, podophyllotoxins, antibiotics, nitrosoureas, hormone therapies, kinase inhibitors, and antiangiogenic agents.

The above species represent separate and distinct kinase inhibitors with different structural identities such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such, each species would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Should applicant transverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior are, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1642

#### Note:

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon J Fetterolf, PhD whose telephone number is (571)-272-2919. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff Siew can be reached on (571) 272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,740

Art Unit: 1642

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brandon J Fetterolf, PhD Examiner Art Unit 1642

BF

GARY B. NICKOL, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINED